

# Agenda : **Reconfirm** the author list rule for the domestic conference.

N.Kanda

- We discussed about the the author list rule. The working group (lead by Tagoshi-san) report the idea, and EO approved as below:

(from “Memo of the Oct. 11, 2017 EO meeting”)

## 3. Report from the Working Group for the Publication Policy

- EO agreed with the report. Please see the report. (The author list style of “Several authors, on behalf of KAGRA collaboration” is tentatively allowed for domestic conference held in Japan and given in Japanese.)
- Please note, in the report, there is a description, “if collaborators in countries or regions outside of Japan want similar changes, they should request separately”.
- EO agreed that we have to discuss if we should continue to ask CPC to check the domestic presentations (to be made with non-English language).

- But the discussion between CPC and KSC is a bit confusing. So, I would like to ask re-confirm this rule.

# Agenda : **Reconfirm** the author list rule for the domestic conference.

- Reference :

At least, we once discuss at past f2f meeting (Dec. 5, 2017).

Below is Shinkai-san's viewgraph of "KSC board report".

At that time, we treat this rule is as approved, but not record its approval explicitly.

## Report from KSC board (3)

- ☒ Guideline for Joining KAGRA collaboration : issued

<https://gwdoc.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/cgi-bin/private/DocDB/ShowDocument?docid=7082>

- ☒ The author list style report from WG : approved by EO

[memo of the Oct 11 \(2017\) EO meeting](#)

The author list style of "**Several authors, on behalf of KAGRA collaboration**" is tentatively allowed for domestic conference held in Japan and given in Japanese.)

Please note, there is a description, "if collaborators in countries or regions outside of Japan want similar changes, they should request separately".

EO agreed that we have to discuss if we should continue to ask CPC to check the domestic presentations (to be made with non-English language).