Development of Non-Gaussian Noise Modeling System

DetChar Seminar

Nov. 25, 2014

Takahiro Yamamoto

Osaka City Univ.

Motivation

The presence of Non-Gaussian noise is known

from TAMA and LIGO experience

- Detector sensitivity may be limit by non-Gaussian noise
- Noise event at tail of distribution see like GW event

Quantitative modeling of gaussianity of detector noise

Specifying time and frequency in bad gaussianity

developing monitor tool

- Narrow down the candidate of noise sources
- Make a list of non-Gaussian noise sources

These things will be progress in real time during KAGRA Commisioning and Observation

Understanding state of detector and surrounding environment Use obtain information to detector and data analysis

For detector : Narrow down of noise sources Early achievement to the target sensitivity Contribution for stable operation

for analysis : Evaluating data quality Usable / Un-usable Stationary / Non-Stationary Gaussian / Non-Gaussian



DetChar seminar@Osaka

Example of Non-Stationarity Monitor

Result of Omega piplene

There are features of each nosise source in TF-plane



Figure 3. Omega time-frequency maps of six examples of glitches seen in the DF channel. Glitch families are identifiable by their unique time-frequency morphology. When identified, the glitch in the auxiliary channel is shown in the inset plot. The first plot shows a 50 Hz power-line glitch also detected by the magnetometers. The second map shows a series of glitches caused by scattered light induced by seismic activity. The third glitch is caused by a TCS instability. The fourth plot presents an airplane event with a clear Doppler effect. The fifth event is due to a glitch in the laser stabilization loop. The last glitch with an undefined shape is due to a seismic event up-converted to higher frequencies.

J. Aasi, et. al., Calss, Quantum Grav 29 (2012) 4

Classification of Noise





Looks like non-stationarity

Non-stationary?

Tail of distribution ?

DetChar seminar@Osaka City Univ.

Classification of Noise



Classification of Noise



Non-stationarity monitor tools : KleineWelle, Omega (LAL)...

LAL: LIGO/LSC Algorithm Library

Non-gausianity monitor tools : We implemented new tool

for real time monitoring

Gauss Noise Model

Re[n(f)] and Im[n(f)] follow Gaussian distribution

and |n(f)| follows Rayleigh distribution

$$f(x) = rac{x}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-rac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}
ight)$$

Non-Gaussian Noise Model : Using Student-t Noise Model

Re[n(f)] and Im[n(f)] follow Student-t distribution

This distribution has heavier tail

and is characrized only 1 parameter (ν)

 $f(t) = \frac{\Gamma((\nu+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\nu\pi} \, \Gamma(\nu/2)} (1 + t^2/\nu)^{-(\nu+1)/2}$

When $v = \infty$, Student-t is equal Gaussian

Modeling noise event at tail of distribution using a clear indicator



Non-Gaussian Noise Model

In Student-t noise model,

[n(f)] follows Student-Rayleigh distribution

 $f_{SR}(x|\sigma,\nu) = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} f_{F(2,\nu)}(\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}), \quad (A18) \qquad f_F(x): \text{F-distribution function}$ C. Röver (2011) <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0442</u>

When $\nu = \infty$, Student-Rayleigh distribution is equal Rayleigh distribution



Non-Gaussianity Monitor

[1] Calculating spectrogram of detector signal (Short Fourier Transform)

[2] Estimating ν using Student-Rayleigh distribution each frequency bin

	4	С	hunck time	e: T (128s	sec)				
	stride: d	T (1sec)							
	so(t)	S1 (t)	s2(t)	•••	sn(t)	Input	signal: s(t)	signal: s(t)	
	Ļ	↓ SFT and Whitening ↓			Ļ		an a		
df = 1/dT	s o(fo)	S 1 (f 0)	s2(fo)	•••	sn(fo)	\rightarrow	ν (fo)	dF	
	so(f1)	sı(fı)	s2(f1)	•••	SN(f1)	→	ν(f ₁)	(16Hz) ▼	
	so(f2)	S 1 (f 2)	s2(f2)	•••	SN(f2)	→	ν (f ₂)		
	• • •	• • •	•••	• • •		→			
	so(fм)	sı (f м)	s2 (fм)	•••	sn(fm)	→	ν (fм)		
						Out	put : ν (f)		

$\Box \nu$ Estimation

so(f _{j=x})	S1 (f _{j=x})	S2(fj=x)	•••	SM(fj=x)	\rightarrow	ν (f _{j=x})
-----------------------	------------------------	----------	-----	----------	---------------	-----------------------

How do we estimate $\nu(f_{j=x})$ from samples $s_i(f_{j=x})$?

- [1] Decide base quantile α (=0.99)
- [2] Sorting Si(f_{j=x})
- [3] Pickup quantile data $S_{i=\alpha M}(f_{j=x})$ from sorted $S_i(f_{j=x})$

[4] Calculating theoretical quantile value of Student-Rayleigh

DetChar seminar@Osaka Cit

distributiont Stheory ($\alpha; \nu$) varing ν

[5] Employing empirical ν as $\nu(f_{j=x})$ where $\nu(f_{j=x})$ give $S_{\text{theory}}(\alpha;\nu)$ which is the most closest to $S_{i=\alpha M}(f_{j=x})$



Quantile of Student-Rayleigh Distribution



\Box Non-Gaussianity Monitor \sim Simulation Test



\Box Non-Gaussianity Monitor \sim Long Time Run



\Box Non-Gaussian Monitor \sim using LIGO S5 Data

Using LIGO S5 data(<u>https://losc.ligo.org/start/</u>)

GPS Time: 842747904

dt = 16 [sec], df = 16 Hz



\Box Non-Gaussian Monitor \sim using LIGO S5 Data

Applied monitor tool to continuous data(about 5 hrs.)





GPS: 842752000





16

Development of Detector Characterization Tool

Toward to commissioning phase,

we are developing DetChar tool named HasKAL

HasKAL is Haskell base library https://github.com/gw-analysis/detector-characterization to run various monitor tools on CUI or GUI



Developed a monitor tool to evaluate non-Gausianity of signal

- · Confirmed detectability of differen of ν using simulation noise
- Applied monitor tool to continuous data (about 5 hrs.)

Future Work

- Searching correlation of non-Gaussianity during multi-channels
- Classification of non-Gaussian and non-Stationary noise using Gaussianity and stationarity monitor